

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

MMC2/0502

09/749,693

12/28/00

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO LLP

EAST TOWER, NINTH FLOOR

1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

FUJII

|--!Y| 2

EXAMINER

ARTUNIT, H

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

05/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/749,693

Applicant(s)

Fujii et al.

Examiner

H. Kwok

Art Unit 2856



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
Status
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 28, 2000</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X This action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims
4) X Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Peper No(s). 4 20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/749,693

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) (d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/109,504, filed on August 20,
 1993.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/749,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-8 of U. S. Patent No. 5,416,916 (Fujii et al.) and claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent 5,627,318 (Fujii et al.) and U.S. Patent 6,227,050 (Fujii et al.) since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: A semiconductor mechanical sensor comprising a semiconductor substrate; a beam structure extending in spaced relation over the substrate; a weight connected to the beam structure includes a first force detect electrode such that the weight is movable along a predetermined direction; a second force detect electrode facing the first force detect electrode; an oscillation member for oscillating the weight; a sense electrode detecting oscillation of the weight wherein movement of the weight produces a changed in capacitance between the first force detect electrode and the second force detect electrode.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/749,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,377,545 (Norling et al.).

Norling et al. discloses an accelerometer comprising, as illustrated in Figures 1-2, a substrate; a beam structure having a weight connected to the beam structure with a first force detect electrode on the weight; a second detect force electrode facing the first force detect electrode; an oscillation member for oscillating the weight; a sense electrode for detecting the oscillation of the weight wherein the movement of the weight produces a change in capacitance. (See, column 4, line 18 to column 5, line 48).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Kwok whose telephone number is (703) 308-8149.

Helen C. Kwok

Art Unit 2856